The Freeport-McMoRan 2013 Working Toward Sustainable Development (WTSD) report, including information referenced by the Global Reporting Initiative (GRI) G3 Content Index published on the Freeport-McMoRan web site has been prepared by the management of Freeport-McMoRan who are responsible for the collection and presentation of this information.

SCOPE
Corporate Integrity Limited, in accordance with Freeport-McMoRan management’s instructions, was asked to perform:

2. A review of statements made regarding the 2013 WTSD report and information referenced by the GRI G3 Content Index; and
3. A review of selected qualitative and quantitative reportable data/information based on GRI G3 guidelines and performance indicators including the GRI Mining and Metals Sector supplemental indicators.

Our assurance work covered Subject Matters 1 to 5 referred to in the ICMM SD Framework: Assurance Procedure.

Our assurance work scope covered all the Freeport-McMoRan mining operations defined by the reporting boundaries of the 2013 WTSD report. The work involved selective reviews of documents, interviews and site visits to:

- Climax mine, USA
- Miami mine, smelter and rod mill, USA
- Bagdad mine, USA
- Tenke Fungurume mine, DRC
- PT Freeport Indonesia (PTFI)- Grasberg mine, Indonesia
- Head Office, Phoenix, USA

The site visit programme is part of an ongoing process to conduct this assurance scope of work at all major Freeport-McMoRan mining and processing sites at least one time every three years.

The review also involved desktop reviews and telephone interviews with management of Henderson mine, USA and El Abra mine, Chile.

KEY FINDINGS
Based on our review, its scope and limitations:

- Nothing has come to our attention, which causes us to believe that the information reported by Freeport-McMoRan in the 2013 WTSD Report and information referenced by the GRI G3 Content Index regarding Freeport-McMoRan implementation of the ICMM assurance commitment with respect to subject matters 1 to 4, has been materially misstated.
- Nothing has come to our attention to cause us to believe that the Freeport-McMoRan self-declared application level of A+, in relation to its reporting against the GRI G3 Sustainability Reporting Guidelines, is materially misstated.

METHODOLOGY
Through document reviews and interviews at the selected sites and head office, the work activity involved:

FOR SUBJECT MATTERS 1 TO 3 IN THE ICMM SD FRAMEWORK: ASSURANCE PROCEDURE

1. A review of Freeport-McMoRan policies and their alignment to ICMM’s 10 SD principles and ICMM Position Statements at corporate and site level.
2. A review of processes in place to identify and prioritise SD risks and opportunities at corporate and site level during the reporting period and the results of the identification and prioritization process.
3. A review of the systems and approaches that Freeport-McMoRan is using to manage its identified material SD risks and opportunities and to implement ICMM’s 10 SD principles at corporate and site level.
FOR SUBJECT MATTERS 4 AND 5 IN THE ICMM SD FRAMEWORK: ASSURANCE PROCEDURE

4. Review of Standard Operating Procedures (SOPs) for the collection and assimilation of GRI G3 reported performance information involving:
   a. An assessment to evaluate the risk of misstating reported information for quantitative indicators reported. The assessment looked at site level reported performance data for GRI indicators and considered materiality in the context of corporate level reported information. On this basis certain indicators were selected for verification activities at the selected sites. The assessment methodology was based on ISO 31000:2009, Risk management – Principles and guidelines.
   b. A review of data reporting, collection and consolidation processes at Head Office.

5. Review of statements made in the 2013 WTSD report and information referenced by the GRI G3 Content Index regarding Freeport-McMoRan sustainable development processes and achievements in 2013 including its implementation of the ICMM SD Framework. This involved VP-level management interviews and documentation reviews in support of corporate level reported information as well as an interview with Freeport-McMoRan’s Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer and Chief Administrative Officer.

6. Review and selective testing for accuracy of qualitative statements made in the 2013 WTSD report and information referenced by the GRI G3 Content Index.

7. Assessment of the self-declared application level of reporting against the GRI G3 Sustainability Reporting Guidelines and the Mining and Metals Sector Supplement Final Version – self-declared at the A+ Level.

LIMITATIONS OF THE WORK PERFORMED

This work has been carried out by checking samples of information and documents that have been made available during the period of assurance activity by Freeport-McMoRan.

Information provided that has been deemed to be independently verified by other third parties has been considered to be appropriately verified, and was not subjected to re-verification by Corporate Integrity.

Our evidence gathering procedures have been designed to obtain a limited level of assurance on which to base our conclusions.

The assurance statement provided by Corporate Integrity is not intended to be used as advice or as the basis for any decisions, including, without limitation, financial or investment decisions.

STATEMENT OF INDEPENDENCE

The independence of our team has been reviewed and none of the Corporate Integrity assessors involved in this project presents a conflict of interest to the integrity of this assurance statement.

STANDARD APPLIED TO THIS ENGAGEMENT

International Standard on Assurance ISEA3000 (revised) – Assurance Engagements other than Audits & Reviews of Historical Financial Information’ issued by IAASB.

Corporate Integrity Ltd.